THE ART OF COMPUTER PROGRAMMING

DONALD E. KNUTH Stanford University



ADDISON-WESLEY

Volume 4A / Combinatorial Algorithms, Part 1

THE ART OF COMPUTER PROGRAMMING

The poem on page 437 is quoted from *The Golden Gate* by Vikram Seth (New York: Random House, 1986), copyright © 1986 by Vikram Seth

The author and publisher have taken care in the preparation of this book, but make no expressed or implied warranty of any kind and assume no responsibility for errors or omissions. No liability is assumed for incidental or consequential damages in connection with or arising out of the use of the information or programs contained herein.

For sales outside the U.S., please contact:

International Sales

international@pearsoned.com

Visit us on the Web: www.informit.com/aw

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

```
Knuth, Donald Ervin, 1938-
  The art of computer programming / Donald Ervin Knuth.
  xvi,883 p. 24 cm.
  Includes bibliographical references and index.
  Contents: v. 1. Fundamental algorithms. -- v. 2. Seminumerical
algorithms. -- v. 3. Sorting and searching. -- v. 4a. Combinatorial
algorithms, part 1.
  Contents: v. 4a. Combinatorial algorithms, part 1.
  ISBN 0-201-89683-4 (v. 1, 3rd ed.)
ISBN 0-201-89684-2 (v. 2, 3rd ed.)
  ISBN 0-201-89685-0 (v. 3, 2nd ed.)
  ISBN 0-201-03804-8 (v. 4a)
  1. Electronic digital computers--Programming. 2. Computer
algorithms.
             I. Title.
QA76.6.K64
            1997
005.1--DC21
                                                                  97-2147
                                                                     CTP
```

Internet page http://www-cs-faculty.stanford.edu/~knuth/taocp.html contains current information about this book and related books.

See also http://www-cs-faculty.stanford.edu/~knuth/sgb.html for information about *The Stanford GraphBase*, including downloadable software for dealing with the graphs used in many of the examples.

And see http://www-cs-faculty.stanford.edu/~knuth/mmix.html for basic information about the MMIX computer.

Copyright © 2010 by Pearson Education, Inc.

All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. Published simultaneously in Canada. This publication is protected by copyright, and permission must be obtained from the publisher prior to any prohibited reproduction, storage in a retrieval system, or transmission in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or likewise. For information regarding permissions, write to:

Pearson Education, Inc. Rights and Contracts Department 501 Boylston Street, Suite 900 Boston, MA 02116

ISBN 0-201-03804-8

Text printed on acid-free paper 1 2 3 4 5 CRW 15 14 13 12 11 First printing, January 2011

PREFACE

To put all the good stuff into one book is patently impossible, and attempting even to be reasonably comprehensive about certain aspects of the subject is likely to lead to runaway growth.

- GERALD B. FOLLAND, "Editor's Corner" (2005)

THE TITLE of Volume 4 is Combinatorial Algorithms, and when I proposed it I was strongly inclined to add a subtitle: The Kind of Programming I Like Best. My editors have decided to tone down such exuberance, but the fact remains that programs with a combinatorial flavor have always been my favorites.

On the other hand I've often been surprised to find that, in many people's minds, the word "combinatorial" is linked with computational difficulty. Indeed, Samuel Johnson, in his famous dictionary of the English language (1755), said that the corresponding noun "is now generally used in an ill sense." Colleagues tell me tales of woe, in which they report that "the combinatorics of the situation defeated us." Why is it that, for me, combinatorics arouses feelings of pure pleasure, yet for many others it evokes pure panic?

It's true that combinatorial problems are often associated with humongously large numbers. Johnson's dictionary entry also included a quote from Ephraim Chambers, who had stated that the total number of words of length 24 or less, in a 24-letter alphabet, is 1,391,724,288,887,252,999,425,128,493,402,200. The corresponding number for a 10-letter alphabet is 11,111,111,110; and it's only 3905 when the number of letters is 5. Thus a "combinatorial explosion" certainly does occur as the size of the problem grows from 5 to 10 to 24 and beyond.

Computing machines have become tremendously more powerful throughout my life. As I write these words, I know that they are being processed by a "laptop" whose speed is more than 100,000 times faster than the trusty IBM Type 650 computer to which I've dedicated these books; my current machine's memory capacity is also more than 100,000 times greater. Tomorrow's computers will be even faster and more capacious. But these amazing advances have not diminished people's craving for answers to combinatorial questions; quite the contrary. Our once-unimaginable ability to compute so rapidly has raised our expectations, and whetted our appetite for more—because, in fact, the size of a combinatorial problem can increase more than 100,000-fold when n simply increases by 1.

Combinatorial algorithms can be defined informally as techniques for the high-speed manipulation of combinatorial objects such as permutations or graphs. We typically try to find patterns or arrangements that are the best possible ways to satisfy certain constraints. The number of such problems is vast, and the art

v

of writing such programs is especially important and appealing because a single good idea can save years or even centuries of computer time.

Indeed, the fact that good algorithms for combinatorial problems can have a terrific payoff has led to terrific advances in the state of the art. Many problems that once were thought to be intractable can now be polished off with ease, and many algorithms that once were known to be good have now become better. Starting about 1970, computer scientists began to experience a phenomenon that we called "Floyd's Lemma": Problems that seemed to need n^3 operations could actually be solved in $O(n^2)$; problems that seemed to require n^2 could be handled in $O(n \log n)$; and $n \log n$ was often reducible to O(n). More difficult problems saw a reduction in running time from $O(2^n)$ to $O(1.5^n)$ to $O(1.3^n)$, etc. Other problems remained difficult in general, but they were found to have important special cases that are much simpler. Many combinatorial questions that I once thought would never be answered during my lifetime have now been resolved, and those breakthroughs have been due mainly to improvements in algorithms rather than to improvements in processor speeds.

By 1975, such research was advancing so rapidly that a substantial fraction of the papers published in leading journals of computer science were devoted to combinatorial algorithms. And the advances weren't being made only by people in the core of computer science; significant contributions were coming from workers in electrical engineering, artificial intelligence, operations research, mathematics, physics, statistics, and other fields. I was trying to complete Volume 4 of The Art of Computer Programming, but instead I felt like I was sitting on the lid of a boiling kettle: I was confronted with a combinatorial explosion of another kind, a prodigious explosion of new ideas!

This series of books was born at the beginning of 1962, when I naïvely wrote out a list of tentative chapter titles for a 12-chapter book. At that time I decided to include a brief chapter about combinatorial algorithms, just for fun. "Hey look, most people use computers to deal with numbers, but we can also write programs that deal with patterns." In those days it was easy to give a fairly complete description of just about every combinatorial algorithm that was known. And even by 1966, when I'd finished a first draft of about 3000 handwritten pages for that already-overgrown book, fewer than 100 of those pages belonged to Chapter 7. I had absolutely no idea that what I'd foreseen as a sort of "salad course" would eventually turn out to be the main dish.

The great combinatorial fermentation of 1975 has continued to churn, as more and more people have begun to participate. New ideas improve upon the older ones, but rarely replace them or make them obsolete. So of course I've had to abandon any hopes that I once had of being able to surround the field, to write a definitive book that sets everything in order and provides one-stop shopping for everyone who has combinatorial problems to solve. The array of applicable techniques has mushroomed to the point where I can almost never discuss a subtopic and say, "Here's the final solution: end of story." Instead, I must restrict myself to explaining the most important principles that seem to underlie all of the efficient combinatorial methods that I've encountered so far.

At present I've accumulated more than twice as much raw material for Volume 4 as for all of Volumes 1–3 combined.

This sheer mass of material implies that the once-planned "Volume 4" must actually become several physical volumes. You are now looking at Volume 4A. Volumes 4B and 4C will exist someday, assuming that I'm able to remain healthy; and (who knows?) there may also be Volumes 4D, 4E, ...; but surely not 4Z.

My plan is to go systematically through the files that I've amassed since 1962 and to tell the stories that I believe are still waiting to be told, to the best of my ability. I can't aspire to completeness, but I do want to give proper credit to all of the pioneers who have been responsible for key ideas; so I won't scrimp on historical details. Furthermore, whenever I learn something that I think is likely to remain important 50 years from now, something that can also be explained elegantly in a paragraph or two, I can't bear to leave it out. Conversely, difficult material that requires a lengthy proof is beyond the scope of these books, unless the subject matter is truly fundamental.

OK, it's clear that the field of Combinatorial Algorithms is vast, and I can't cover it all. What are the most important things that I'm leaving out? My biggest blind spot, I think, is geometry, because I've always been much better at visualizing and manipulating algebraic formulas than objects in space. Therefore I don't attempt to deal in these books with combinatorial problems that are related to computational geometry, such as close packing of spheres, or clustering of data points in n-dimensional Euclidean space, or even the Steiner tree problem in the plane. More significantly, I tend to shy away from polyhedral combinatorics, and from approaches that are based primarily on linear programming, integer programming, or semidefinite programming. Those topics are treated well in many other books on the subject, and they rely on geometrical intuition. Purely combinatorial developments are easier for me to understand.

I also must confess a bias against algorithms that are efficient only in an asymptotic sense, algorithms whose superior performance doesn't begin to "kick in" until the size of the problem exceeds the size of the universe. A great many publications nowadays are devoted to algorithms of that kind. I can understand why the contemplation of ultimate limits has intellectual appeal and carries an academic cachet; but in *The Art of Computer Programming* I tend to give short shrift to any methods that I would never consider using myself in an actual program. (There are, of course, exceptions to this rule, especially with respect to basic concepts in the core of the subject. Some impractical methods are simply too beautiful and/or too insightful to be excluded; others provide instructive examples of what *not* to do.)

Furthermore, as in earlier volumes of this series, I'm intentionally concentrating almost entirely on *sequential* algorithms, even though computers are increasingly able to carry out activities in parallel. I'm unable to judge what ideas about parallelism are likely to be useful five or ten years from now, let alone fifty, so I happily leave such questions to others who are wiser than I. Sequential methods, by themselves, already test the limits of my own ability to discern what the artful programmers of tomorrow will want to know.

viii PREFACE

The main decision that I needed to make when planning how to present this material was whether to organize it by problems or by techniques. Chapter 5 in Volume 3, for example, was devoted to a single problem, the sorting of data into order; more than two dozen techniques were applied to different aspects of that problem. Combinatorial algorithms, by contrast, involve many different problems, which tend to be attacked with a smaller repertoire of techniques. I finally decided that a mixed strategy would work better than any pure approach. Thus, for example, these books treat the problem of finding shortest paths in Section 7.3, and problems of connectivity in Section 7.4.1; but many other sections are devoted to basic techniques, such as the use of Boolean algebra (Section 7.1), backtracking (Section 7.2), matroid theory (Section 7.6), or dynamic programming (Section 7.7). The famous Traveling Salesrep Problem, and other classic combinatorial tasks related to covering, coloring, and packing, have no sections of their own, but they come up several times in different places as they are treated by different methods.

I've mentioned great progress in the art of combinatorial computing, but I don't mean to imply that all combinatorial problems have actually been tamed. When the running time of a computer program goes ballistic, its programmers shouldn't expect to find a silver bullet for their needs in this book. The methods described here will often work a great deal faster than the first approaches that a programmer tries; but let's face it: Combinatorial problems get huge very quickly. We can even prove rigorously that a certain small, natural problem will never have a feasible solution in the real world, although it is solvable in principle (see the theorem of Stockmeyer and Meyer in Section 7.1.2). In other cases we cannot prove as yet that no decent algorithm for a given problem exists, but we know that such methods are unlikely, because any efficient algorithm would yield a good way to solve thousands of other problems that have stumped the world's greatest experts (see the discussion of NP-completeness in Section 7.9).

Experience suggests that new combinatorial algorithms will continue to be invented, for new combinatorial problems and for newly identified variations or special cases of old ones; and that people's appetite for such algorithms will also continue to grow. The art of computer programming continually reaches new heights when programmers are faced with challenges such as these. Yet today's methods are also likely to remain relevant.

Most of this book is self-contained, although there are frequent tie-ins with the topics discussed in Volumes 1–3. Low-level details of machine language programming have been covered extensively in those volumes, so the algorithms in the present book are usually specified only at an abstract level, independent of any machine. However, some aspects of combinatorial programming are heavily dependent on low-level details that didn't arise before; in such cases, all examples in this book are based on the MMIX computer, which supersedes the MIX machine that was defined in early editions of Volume 1. Details about MMIX appear in a paperback supplement to that volume called *The Art of Computer Programming*, Volume 1, Fascicle 1, containing Sections 1.3.1', 1.3.2', etc.; they're also available on the Internet, together with downloadable assemblers and simulators.

Another downloadable resource, a collection of programs and data called *The Stanford GraphBase*, is cited extensively in the examples of this book. Readers are encouraged to play with it, in order to learn about combinatorial algorithms in what I think will be the most efficient and most enjoyable way.

Incidentally, while writing the introductory material at the beginning of Chapter 7, I was pleased to note that it was natural to mention some work of my Ph.D. thesis advisor, Marshall Hall, Jr. (1910–1990), as well as some work of his thesis advisor, Oystein Ore (1899–1968), as well as some work of his thesis advisor, Thoralf Skolem (1887–1963). Skolem's advisor, Axel Thue (1863–1922), was already present in Chapter 6.

I'm immensely grateful to the hundreds of readers who have helped me to ferret out numerous mistakes that I made in the early drafts of this volume, which were originally posted on the Internet and subsequently printed in paperback fascicles. In particular, the extensive comments of Thorsten Dahlheimer, Marc van Leeuwen, and Udo Wermuth have been especially influential. But I fear that other errors still lurk among the details collected here, and I want to correct them as soon as possible. Therefore I will cheerfully award \$2.56 to the first finder of each technical, typographical, or historical error. The taocp webpage cited on page iv contains a current listing of all corrections that have been reported to me.

Stanford, California October 2010 D. E. K.

In my preface to the first edition,
I begged the reader not to draw attention to errors.
I now wish I had not done so
and am grateful to the few readers who ignored my request.

— STUART SUTHERLAND, The International Dictionary of Psychology (1996)

Naturally, I am responsible for the remaining errors although, in my opinion, my friends could have caught a few more. — CHRISTOS H. PAPADIMITRIOU. Computational Complexity (1994)

CHRISTOS H. PAPADIMITRIOU, Computational Complexity (1994)

I like to work in a variety of fields in order to spread my mistakes more thinly.

— VICTOR KLEE (1999)

A note on references. Several oft-cited journals and conference proceedings have special code names, which appear in the Index and Glossary at the close of this book. But the various kinds of *IEEE Transactions* are cited by including a letter code for the type of transactions, in boldface preceding the volume number. For example, '*IEEE Trans.* C-35' means the *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, volume 35. The IEEE no longer uses these convenient letter codes, but the codes aren't too hard to decipher: 'EC' once stood for "Electronic Computers," 'IT' for "Information Theory," 'SE' for "Software Engineering," and 'SP' for "Signal Processing," etc.; 'CAD' meant "Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems."

A cross-reference such as 'exercise 7.10–00' points to a future exercise in Section 7.10 whose number is not yet known.

PREFACE

 \mathbf{x}

A note on notations. Simple and intuitive conventions for the algebraic representation of mathematical concepts have always been a boon to progress, especially when most of the world's researchers share a common symbolic language. The current state of affairs in combinatorial mathematics is unfortunately a bit of a mess in this regard, because the same symbols are occasionally used with completely different meanings by different groups of people; some specialists who work in comparatively narrow subfields have unintentionally spawned conflicting symbolisms. Computer science—which interacts with large swaths of mathematics—needs to steer clear of this danger by adopting internally consistent notations whenever possible. Therefore I've often had to choose among a number of competing schemes, knowing that it will be impossible to please everyone. I have tried my best to come up notations that I believe will be best for the future, often after many years of experimentation and discussion with colleagues, often flip-flopping between alternatives until finding something that works well. Usually it has been possible to find convenient conventions that other people have not already coopted in contradictory ways.

Appendix B is a comprehensive index to all of the principal notations that are used in the present book, inevitably including several that are not (yet?) standard. If you run across a formula that looks weird and/or incomprehensible, chances are fairly good that Appendix B will direct you to a page where my intentions are clarified. But I might as well list here a few instances that you might wish to watch for when you read this book for the first time:

- Hexadecimal constants are preceded by a number sign or hash mark. For example, #123 means $(123)_{16}$.
- The "monus" operation x y, sometimes called dot-minus or saturating subtraction, yields $\max(0, x y)$.
- The median of three numbers $\{x, y, z\}$ is denoted by $\langle xyz \rangle$.
- A set such as $\{x\}$, which consists of a single element, is often denoted simply by x in contexts such as $X \cup x$ or $X \setminus x$.
- If n is a nonnegative integer, the number of 1-bits in n's binary representation is νn . Furthermore, if n > 0, the leftmost and rightmost 1-bits of n are respectively $2^{\lambda n}$ and $2^{\rho n}$. For example, $\nu 10 = 2$, $\lambda 10 = 3$, $\rho 10 = 1$.
- The Cartesian product of graphs G and H is denoted by $G \square H$. For example, $C_m \square C_n$ denotes an $m \times n$ torus, because C_n denotes a cycle of n vertices.

NOTES ON THE EXERCISES

THE EXERCISES in this set of books have been designed for self-study as well as for classroom study. It is difficult, if not impossible, for anyone to learn a subject purely by reading about it, without applying the information to specific problems and thereby being encouraged to think about what has been read. Furthermore, we all learn best the things that we have discovered for ourselves. Therefore the exercises form a major part of this work; a definite attempt has been made to keep them as informative as possible and to select problems that are enjoyable as well as instructive.

In many books, easy exercises are found mixed randomly among extremely difficult ones. A motley mixture is, however, often unfortunate because readers like to know in advance how long a problem ought to take—otherwise they may just skip over all the problems. A classic example of such a situation is the book *Dynamic Programming* by Richard Bellman; this is an important, pioneering work in which a group of problems is collected together at the end of some chapters under the heading "Exercises and Research Problems," with extremely trivial questions appearing in the midst of deep, unsolved problems. It is rumored that someone once asked Dr. Bellman how to tell the exercises apart from the research problems, and he replied, "If you can solve it, it is an exercise; otherwise it's a research problem."

Good arguments can be made for including both research problems and very easy exercises in a book of this kind; therefore, to save the reader from the possible dilemma of determining which are which, *rating numbers* have been provided to indicate the level of difficulty. These numbers have the following general significance:

Rating Interpretation

- 00 An extremely easy exercise that can be answered immediately if the material of the text has been understood; such an exercise can almost always be worked "in your head," unless you're multitasking.
- 10 A simple problem that makes you think over the material just read, but is by no means difficult. You should be able to do this in one minute at most; pencil and paper may be useful in obtaining the solution.
- 20 An average problem that tests basic understanding of the text material, but you may need about fifteen or twenty minutes to answer it completely. Maybe even twenty-five.

- 30 A problem of moderate difficulty and/or complexity; this one may involve more than two hours' work to solve satisfactorily, or even more if the TV is on.
- 40 Quite a difficult or lengthy problem that would be suitable for a term project in classroom situations. A student should be able to solve the problem in a reasonable amount of time, but the solution is not trivial.
- A research problem that has not yet been solved satisfactorily, as far as the author knew at the time of writing, although many people have tried. If you have found an answer to such a problem, you ought to write it up for publication; furthermore, the author of this book would appreciate hearing about the solution as soon as possible (provided that it is correct).

By interpolation in this "logarithmic" scale, the significance of other rating numbers becomes clear. For example, a rating of 17 would indicate an exercise that is a bit simpler than average. Problems with a rating of 50 that are subsequently solved by some reader may appear with a 45 rating in later editions of the book, and in the errata posted on the Internet (see page iv).

The remainder of the rating number divided by 5 indicates the amount of detailed work required. Thus, an exercise rated 24 may take longer to solve than an exercise that is rated 25, but the latter will require more creativity.

The author has tried earnestly to assign accurate rating numbers, but it is difficult for the person who makes up a problem to know just how formidable it will be for someone else to find a solution; and everyone has more aptitude for certain types of problems than for others. It is hoped that the rating numbers represent a good guess at the level of difficulty, but they should be taken as general guidelines, not as absolute indicators.

This book has been written for readers with varying degrees of mathematical training and sophistication; as a result, some of the exercises are intended only for the use of more mathematically inclined readers. The rating is preceded by an M if the exercise involves mathematical concepts or motivation to a greater extent than necessary for someone who is primarily interested only in programming the algorithms themselves. An exercise is marked with the letters "HM" if its solution necessarily involves a knowledge of calculus or other higher mathematics not developed in this book. An "HM" designation does not necessarily imply difficulty.

Some exercises are preceded by an arrowhead, "\stack"; this designates problems that are especially instructive and especially recommended. Of course, no reader/student is expected to work all of the exercises, so those that seem to be the most valuable have been singled out. (This distinction is not meant to detract from the other exercises!) Each reader should at least make an attempt to solve all of the problems whose rating is 10 or less; and the arrows may help to indicate which of the problems with a higher rating should be given priority.

Several sections have more than 100 exercises. How can you find your way among so many? In general the sequence of exercises tends to follows the

sequence of ideas in the main text. Adjacent exercises build on each other, as in the pioneering problem books of Pólya and Szegő. The final exercises of a section often involve the section as a whole, or introduce supplementary topics.

Solutions to most of the exercises appear in the answer section. Please use them wisely; do not turn to the answer until you have made a genuine effort to solve the problem by yourself, or unless you absolutely do not have time to work this particular problem. After getting your own solution or giving the problem a decent try, you may find the answer instructive and helpful. The solution given will often be quite short, and it will sketch the details under the assumption that you have earnestly tried to solve it by your own means first. Sometimes the solution gives less information than was asked; often it gives more. It is quite possible that you may have a better answer than the one published here, or you may have found an error in the published solution; in such a case, the author will be pleased to know the details. Later printings of this book will give the improved solutions together with the solver's name where appropriate.

When working an exercise you may generally use the answers to previous exercises, unless specifically forbidden from doing so. The rating numbers have been assigned with this in mind; thus it is possible for exercise n+1 to have a lower rating than exercise n, even though it includes the result of exercise n as a special case.

20 Medium (quarter hou	r)
Recommended 30 Moderately hard	1)
M Mathematically oriented 40 Term project	
HM Requiring "higher math" 50 Research problem	

EXERCISES

- ▶ 1. [00] What does the rating "M15" mean?
 - 2. [10] Of what value can the exercises in a textbook be to the reader?
 - 3. [HM45] Prove that every simply connected, closed 3-dimensional manifold is topologically equivalent to a 3-dimensional sphere.

Art derives a considerable part of its beneficial exercise from flying in the face of presumptions.

— HENRY JAMES, "The Art of Fiction" (1884)

I am grateful to all my friends, and record here and now my most especial appreciation to those friends who, after a decent interval, stopped asking me, "How's the book coming?"

— PETER J. GOMES, The Good Book (1996)

I at last deliver to the world a Work which I have long promised, and of which, I am afraid, too high expectations have been raised. The delay of its publication must be imputed, in a considerable degree, to the extraordinary zeal which has been shown by distinguished persons in all quarters to supply me with additional information.

— JAMES BOSWELL, The Life of Samuel Johnson, LL.D. (1791)

The author is especially grateful to the Addison–Wesley Publishing Company for its patience in waiting a full decade for this manuscript from the date the contract was signed.

- FRANK HARARY, Graph Theory (1969)

The average boy who abhors square root or algebra finds delight in working puzzles which involve similar principles, and may be led into a course of study which would develop the mathematical and inventive bumps in a way to astonish the family phrenologist.

- SAM LOYD, The World of Puzzledom (1896)

Bitte ein Bit!
— Slogan of Bitburger Brauerei (1951)

xiv

CONTENTS

Cha	pter 7	7 — Combinatorial Searching	1
7.1.	Zeros	and Ones	47
	7.1.1.	Boolean Basics	47
	7.1.2.	Boolean Evaluation	96
	7.1.3.	Bitwise Tricks and Techniques	133
	7.1.4.	Binary Decision Diagrams	202
7.2.	Gener	rating All Possibilities	281
	7.2.1.	Generating Basic Combinatorial Patterns	281
		7.2.1.1. Generating all n -tuples	281
		7.2.1.2. Generating all permutations	319
		7.2.1.3. Generating all combinations	355
		7.2.1.4. Generating all partitions	390
		7.2.1.5. Generating all set partitions	415
		7.2.1.6. Generating all trees	440
		7.2.1.7. History and further references	486
Ans	wers t	co Exercises	514
Арр	endix	A — Tables of Numerical Quantities	818
	1.	Fundamental Constants (decimal)	818
	2.	Fundamental Constants (hexadecimal)	819
	3.	Harmonic Numbers, Bernoulli Numbers, Fibonacci Numbers	820
App	endix	B — Index to Notations	822
Арр	endix	C—Index to Algorithms and Theorems	828
Арр	endix	D — Index to Combinatorial Problems	830
Inde	ev and	Glossary	83/



Hommage à Bach.